



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,079	01/14/2002	Meir Rosenberg	022719-0026	5373	
21125 7	590 03/09/2005		EXAMINER		
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST			DEAK, LESLIE R		
155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	A 02210-2604		3762		

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			Applicant(s)	(1)			
Office Action Summary		10/047,079 Examiner	ROSENBERG ET AL.				
	,		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	Leslie R. Deak	ith the correspondence address				
Period f	or Reply	ion appears on are out or ones.					
THE - External after of the control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAl ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical elements of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, are ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 08 December 2004.					
2a)□							
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m						
	closed in accordance with the practice u	in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 3 and 5-20 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are vectorial claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 3 and 5-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2002 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	? is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	<b>).</b>			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a claim for a claim b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachme	nt(s)		,				
1) Noti 2) Noti 3) Info	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/047,079

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3 and 5-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,141,502 to Macaluso, Jr. in view of US 5,514,176 to Bosley, Jr. Macaluso discloses a catheter with a proximal and distal end an inner lumen that extends between the proximal and distal ends (see FIG 7, 9). The distal end may comprise a coil-shaped region with between one and ten coils, between one and forty fluid entry ports thereupon, and a distal fluid port. The catheter is comprised of two sections: a distal tube body 19 and a bladder coil 23 that are connected at hub 27, wherein the tube portions are formed of polymeric material that retains its shape in a coiled position (see FIG 7, column 2, lines 1-5). With regard to applicant's omission of ports in a particular portion of the device, it has been held that omission of an element and its function in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.04. Macaluso discloses the device as claimed with the exception of the diameter of the coiled region being dimensioned to fit within a deployment catheter. Bosley discloses a coiled stent 10 that comprises a connected spiral distal tubular region 12 that fits in catheter 42 for deployment into the desired body cavity in order to prevent tissue growth between the coils, making removal

Art Unit: 3762

difficult. The stent may be dimensioned for the body cavity for deployment (see column 5, lines 32-64), but the coil illustrated in FIG 1 generally measures about 4mm across, and about 3cm long. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the coils of the Macaluso device in a manner that fit within a deployment catheter for ease of insertion and removal, as disclosed byt Bosley. With regard to the size and shape of the fluid entry ports in the catheter, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges, such as size and shape in the instantly claimed invention, involves only routine skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.05.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3, and 5-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie R. Deak whose telephone number is 571-272-4943. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00, every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,079

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

23 February 2005

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Cingel. D. Ally

Page 4